

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.6418 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -1542 Year- 2015 Thana -NAWADAH COMPLAINT CASE District-
NAWADA

=====

1. Sugriv Prasad @ Sugreev Prasad, Son of Sri Arjun Mahto, Resident of
Village- Raja Bigha, P.O.- Marui, Police Station- Roh, District- Nawadah.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Manju Devi, wife of Sugriv Prasad, Resident of Village- Marui, P.S.-
Roh, District- Nawada. at present Daughter of Suresh Prasad, Resident of
Village- Maniyochak, P.O. and P.S.- Roh, District- Nawadah.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arjun Prasad
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Nawal Kishore Prasad

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

4 23-08-2017 Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

This application, for grant of anticipatory bail, arises
out of Complaint Case No. 1542 of 2015, disclosing offences
under Sections 498(A) of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that
the petitioner, who is of clean antecedent, is innocent and has
not committed any offence. There is general and omnibus
allegation against this petitioner and no specific overt act is
attributed to him. The petitioner is still ready to keep the
complainant as wife with full honour and dignity but the



complainant herself does not want to reside with the petitioner.

Hence, the petitioner deserves the privilege of anticipatory bail.

Learned A.P.P. for the State as well the informant has opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that the petitioner happens to be husband of the complainant and he is not ready to keep the complainant as wife. Earlier the matter was referred to mediation centre but the mediation could not be succeeded at the instance of the petitioner, which is evident from the mediation report.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is not inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of this petitioner is, rejected.

(Arvind Srivastava, J)

brajesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

