

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4403 of 2011

=====

Mithilesh Kumar Singh, son of Sri Kapindra Singh, resident of Village Kauriya,
Police Station- Madhuban, District- East Champaran.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The District Teacher Employment Appellate Tribunal, East Champaran, through its Member
3. The Block Development Officer, Madhuban, District- East Champaran
4. The District Superintendent of Education, East Champaran.
5. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj Kauria, District - East Champaran
7. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj Kauria, District - East Champaran
8. Keshav Ranjan, Son of Murli Manohar Singh, Resident of Village - Kauria, P.S. Madhuban, District - East Champaran

.... Respondents

with

=====

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 7992 of 2013

=====

Yogendra Chaudhary, Son of Late Mangal Baitha, Resident of Kajrahar, P.S. Madhuban, District - East Champaran

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The District Teacher Employment Appellate Authority, East Champaran, through its Member
3. The District Education Officer, East Champaran
4. The Block Education Officer, Madhuban, East Champaran
5. The Block Development Officer, Madhuban, East Champaran
6. The Mukhiya , Gram Panchayat Raj Kauria, Block Madhuban, P.S. Madhuban, District - East Champaran
7. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj Kauria, Block Madhuban, P.S. Madhuban, District - East Champaran
8. Keshav Ranjan, Son of Murli Manohar Singh, Resident of Village - Kauria, P.S. Madhuban, District - East Champaran

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

(In CWJC No.4403 of 2011)

For the Petitioner :

For the Respondents :

(In CWJC No.7992 of 2013)

For the Petitioner : Mr. Prabhat Kumar, Advocate

For the State : Mr. Manoj Kuamr Sinha, AC to GA-9

For Private Respondents No.7 : Mr. Pranav Kumar, Advocate

=====



CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AHSANUDDIN AMANULLAH
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 06-11-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners; State and the private respondents.

2. In both the writ petitions, the petitioners have challenged the order dated 15.02.2011 passed by the District Teachers Employment Appellate Authority, East Champaran in Appeal No.648 of 2010.

3. It has been informed at the bar that an appeal against the said order is maintainable before the State Appellate Authority which is fully functional.

4. In view thereof, the writ petition stands disposed off with liberty to the petitioners to move before the State Appellate Authority in the matter. If such an appeal is filed within four weeks from today, the same shall be considered and disposed off on merits expeditiously and within the statutory period.

(Ahsanuddin Amanullah, J)

N.H./-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	06-11-2017
Transmission Date	

