

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

**Letters Patent Appeal No.548 of 2015
IN
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 19137 of 2014**

=====

Maa Sitla Traders through its Proprietor Ashok Kumar. Son of Ram Chandra Sao.
Resident of at Sahokhar, Sohsarai Bihar Sharif, P.S. - Sohsarai, District - Nalanda.

.... Appellant/s

Versus

1. The United Bank of India through its General Manager, Head Office 11, Hemanta Basu Sarani, Kolkata - 700001.
2. The Regional Manager, United Bank of India, Regional Office, Abhay Bhawan, 2nd Floor, Frazer Road, Patna - 800001.
3. The Manager, United Bank of India, Head Office 11, Hemanta Basu Sarani, Kolkata - 700001.
4. The Authorised Officer, United Bank of India, Patna Regional Office, Abhay Bhawan, 2nd Floor, Frazer Road, Patna - 800001.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance:

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Bijay Kumar Pandey, Advocate.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Binod Bihari Sinha, Advocate.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD**

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI)

Date: 14-07-2017

Heard counsel for the appellant and counsel for the respondents Bank.

Learned Single Judge has done no wrong by dismissing the Writ Application since the order has been passed within the framework of the law. The action has been taken against the appellant, especially under Sections 13(2) and 13(4) of the Securitization and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002. There is remedy provided within the Act.



Dismissal of the appeal will not come in the way of the
appellant exhausting the remedy so provided.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Dilip, AR

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	17.07.2017
Transmission Date	N/A

