

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

**Letters Patent Appeal No.354 of 2015
IN
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 4771 of 2013**

=====

Md. Didar Ansari. S/O Md. Israil Ansari. Resident Of Village - Dahuwa, P.O -
Dahuwa Baun s iand, P.S - Baunsi, District - Banka.

.... Appellant

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Commissioner cum Secretary Human Resource Development Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director Secondary Education, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Deputy Director, Madhyamik Shiksha Karyalaya, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Regional Deputy Director of Education, Bhagalpur.
5. The District Education Officer, Banka.
6. The Secretary Managing Committee, L.N.D. Project Girls High School. Bounsi, District - Banka.
7. The Headmaster L.N.D. Project Girls High School at P.O.+ P.S.- Bounsi, District - Banka.
8. Sarita Kumari, Teacher L.N.D. Project Girls High School at P.O.+ P.S.- Bounsi, District - Banka.
9. Purushottam Tiwary, Assistant Teacher, L.N.D. Project Girls High School at P.O.+ P.S.- Bounsi, District - Banka.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant : Mr. Balram Kapri, Advocate
For the Respondent State: Mr. Yogendra Pd. Sinha, AAG-7

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD**

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI)

Date: 11-07-2017

Heard counsel for the appellant and counsel for the
State.

Since by judicial orders right from the Patna High Court
till the Apex Court the cut off date for recognition of service for
employees of a project school has been fixed as 04.02.1989, the
learned single Judge committed no error of law by dismissing the



writ application of the appellant when admittedly the appellant claimed that he was appointed in the project school in the year 2006.

There is no mechanism by which any jugglery could be permitted or allowed to the judicial orders passed on which the recognition to service of employees or teachers of a project school is being given or had been given.

Since the appellant does not come within the parameters, dismissal of the writ application was in order.

Appeal has no merit. It is dismissed.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Pawan/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	11.07.2017
Transmission Date	N/A

