

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3160 of 2014

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 10726 of 2006

=====

1. Satya Narayan Das son of Late Jageshwar Das, resident of village
Rajani, P.S. Murliganj, District Madhepura

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the District Magistrate cum Collector,
Madhepura
2. Sri Gopal Meena, son of not known, district Magistrate Cum Collector,
Madhepura
3. Sri Bimal Kumar Singh, son of not known, Sub Divisional Officer,
Madhepura
4. Sri Sudhir Kumar Sinha, son of not know, DCLR, Madhepura
5. Sri Ramautar Singh, son of not known, Circle Officer, Murliganj Block,
Madhepura

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Anjani Kumar, AAG-4

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
ORAL ORDER

4 01-07-2017 Keeping in view the counter affidavit filed by the

respondent and the assertion made therein that encroachment with

respect to plot No.5523 has been removed, this Court on

22.5.2017 was inclined to dispose of the matter. However, learned

counsel for the petitioner took time to seek instruction which was

granted, but till date there is no rebuttal to the assertions made in

the counter affidavit.

That being so, for the present, no action is required to

be taken in the present matter.



In case the petitioner is aggrieved with the manner in which the encroachment has been removed, he may challenge the same in accordance with law in an appropriate proceeding.

With the aforesaid, this appeal is disposed of.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

K.C.jha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

