

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.31830 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -92 Year- 2017 Thana -NATHNAGAR District- BHAGALPUR

=====

Ranjeet Yadav @ Ranjeet Kumar Son of Upendra Yadav, Resident of
Village-Nawada Goradih, P.s. Rajoun, District-banka.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dr. Manoj Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dr. Indivar Kumari

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2. 13-07-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

No one appears on behalf of the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in
relation to Madhusudanpur P.S. Case No. 92/2017
registered under Sections 366, 379, 354(B), 120(B), 504,
506/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits
that in the complaint petition which has given rise to the
present police case. There is an allegation that this
petitioner had taken away the daughter of the complainant,
however, when his daughter did not return he enquired
about his daughter and came to know that this petitioner
along with other accused had kidnapped his daughter with



an intention to marry. In the complaint petition itself, the petitioner has disclosed that his daughter is aged about 20 years.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the daughter of the informant has appeared before the learned Magistrate and got recorded her statement under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, in which she has very emphatically taken a stand that she has solemnized marriage with this petitioner and wants to live with him.

This being the position. Learned counsel submits that the father of the girl namely, Manisha Kumari, has filed the present case despite knowing well that this daughter is major and has solemnized marriage with this petitioner without any coercion.

Considering the nature of allegation particularly age of the girl being major and her statement that she has solemnized marriage with this petitioner, in the opinion of this court, the petitioner needs to be protected, in the event of his arrest/surrender before the court below within four weeks, let the above-named petitioner be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/-



(ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-1st, Bhagalpur, in connection with Madhusudanpur P.S. Case No. 92/2017, subject to condition laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J.)

Rajeev/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

