

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

**Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.871 of 2014
Arising out of
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 7285 of 2012**

- =====
1. Dr. Amrendra Kumar Sinha, Son of Sri Anjani Kumar Sinha, Working as a Reader in the Department of English at S.M.D. College, Punpun, Patna, Resident of Flat No.-204/B Sri Ram Parishav Apartment, Road No.-11, East Patel Nagar, Patna-800023
 2. Dr. Rajani Ranjan Prasad Singh, Son of Late Dineshwar Prasad, Working as a Reader in the Department of Zoology at S.M.D. College, Punpun, Patna, Resident of Flat No.-203, Patliputra Villa, Kheitan Lane, West Boring Canal Road, Patna.
 3. Dr. Anugrah Narain Son Of Late Narayan Prasad, Working As Reader in the Department of Physics At S.M.D. College, Punpun, Patna, Resident of Road No.-2, Rajeev Nagar, Patna.
 4. Dr. Surendra Prasad Srivastava, Son of Late Rambriksha Lal Working as Reader in the Department of Philosophy At S.M.D. College, Punpun, Patna, Resident of Flat No.-305, Shashi Nandan Kanan Apartment, R.L.S.Y. High School, Road, Punaichak, Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Dr. D.Y. Patil, Son of not known to the Petitioner, Chancellor Universities of Bihar, Raj Bhawan, Patna.
3. Sri Amarjeet Sinha, Son of not known to the Petitioner, Principal Secretary Human Resources Development Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. Md. Ishtiaq, Son of not known to the petitioner, Vice Chancellor Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.
5. Sri Deepak Kumar Singh, son of not known to the petitioner, Registrar Magadh University, Bodh Gaya.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Rajendra Kumar Giri, Advocate
Mr. Bhaskar Shankar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 10-05-2017

Keeping in view the order passed by the respondents as contained in Annexure-A and B dated 01.04.2014 and 28.07.2014, I am not inclined to initiate any action for contempt against the



respondents. In case the petitioners have any grievance with regard to the manner in which their case has been considered and rejected by the aforesaid order, petitioners may challenge the same in accordance with law but on such ground it is not a fit case for taking any action against the respondents. The respondents are discharged from the proceedings and the application disposed of.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

P.K.P.

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	12.05.2017
Transmission Date	

