

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.33874 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -3579 Year- 2015 Thana -VAISALI COMPLAINT CASE District-
VAISHALI(HAJIPUR)

=====

Shashi Bhushan Son of Sri Ram Ayodhya Prasad, Resident of Village-
Basatpur, P.S.- Dighawara, District- Chapra, then Circle Officer, Mahanar,
P.S.- Mahanar, District- Vaishali, At present Circle Officer Mohanpur, P.S.-
Mohanpur, District- Gaya.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Md. Anwar Alam, Son of Late Jahiruddin , R/o Babu Mohalla- Mahnar,
P.S.- Mahanar, District- Vaishali.

.... Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Satish Kumar Sinha
For the Opposite Party/s : Smt. Pushpa Sinha

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 03-08-2017 This has come under the heading ‘To Be Mentioned’
at the instance of learned counsel for the petitioner.

Submission of learned counsel is that in third
paragraph of order dated 20.7.2017 it has wrongly been mentioned
that “appeal preferred before the D.C.L.R. has been disposed of
and his evidence is closed” but as a matter of fact the appeal is still
pending. It has further been submitted that condition of bail has
wrongly been mentioned that “petitioner shall cooperate in the
investigation of the case” but as a matter of fact the case relates to
a Complaint case.

In the said circumstances, the order dated 20.7.2017



stands modified to the extent that “appeal preferred before the D.C.L.R. is still pending” and condition of bail should be correctly read as “petitioner shall cooperate in trial and make himself available in court”.

Let this order be communicated to the court concerned forthwith.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

spal/-

U			
---	--	--	--

