

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 19291 of 2010**

=====

Brahmanand S/O Late Ram Pratap R/O Vill.- Kariyapur Rawat, Post-Bhawanipur, Via- Mahso, Police Station- Kotwali (Basti), Distt.- Basti (Uttar Pradesh) Presently Residing at the Address: House No.- 1544, North Awas Vikas Colony, Mohalla- Satyawanpuri, Post Office- Gandhi Nagar, Police Station- Kotwali (Basti), Distt.- Basti (Uttar Pradesh)

.... .... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary Govt. of Bihar, Patna
2. The Agriculture Production Commissioner, Bihar, Patna
3. The Principal Secretary, Department of Finance, Bihar, Patna
4. The Director of Agriculture, Department of Agriculture, Bihar, Patna
5. The District Agriculture Officer, Samastipur
6. The Deputy Secretary, Department of Agriculture, Bihar, Patna
7. The Sub-Divisional Agriculture Officer, Samastipur

.... .... Respondents

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajit Kumar

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3. 22-05-2017 Sri Ajit Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner, in view of fact disclosed in the counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondent no. 1 to 7, submits that most of the reliefs, which were sought for in the writ petitions, have already been granted to the petitioner. However, he requests for disposal of the present writ petition, with an observation that if still any grievance exists, the petitioner would be at liberty to approach the authority concerned.

In view of submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition stands disposed of, with an indication that if still any grievance exists, the petitioner would be



at liberty to avail appropriate remedy.

It goes without saying that if on such plea, any representation is filed by the petitioner within a period of six weeks from today, the authority concerned, without being prejudiced with this order, may examine the same and pass appropriate order in accordance with law, preferably within a period of three months thereafter.

It goes without saying that this Court has not recorded any opinion on the merit of the case.

**(Rakesh Kumar, J.)**

Anay

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

