

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.34893 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -238 Year- 2016 Thana -KHUSRUPUR District- PATNA

=====

Ravi Kumar

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vipin Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Sanjay Kumar Sharma

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2 26-07-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner apprehends arrest in Khusrupur P.S. Case No. 238 of 2016 instituted for the offence under Section-414 of the Indian Penal Code.

It has been submitted that there is no recovery of any stolen articles from possession of the petitioner. His name has been disclosed by co-accused Rajeev Kumar who has been intercepted by the police.

From the written report itself, it appears that name of the petitioner has been disclosed by co-accused Rajeev Kumar who has been intercepted by the police. From the seizure list also, it appears that there is no recovery from possession of the petitioner.

In such circumstances, prayer for anticipatory bail is allowed and it is ordered that the petitioner named above in the event of his arrest or surrender in the court below within six weeks from the date of receipt/production of copy of this order, shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each in connection with Khusrupur P.S. Case No. 238



of 2016 to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Patna subject to condition as laid down u/S 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. with further conditions (bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason, will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioner and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

A.K.V./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

