

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.26736 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -23 Year- 2017 Thana -BATH District- BHAGALPUR

=====

Chandan Kumar @ Rajiv Kumar, Son of Sri Bageshwar Prasad Singh,
resident of Village- Karhariya, P.S.- Bath, District- Bhagalpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Suresh Prasad Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Satyendra Prasad, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2 06-07-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in Bath P.S. Case
No. 23 of 2017 instituted for the offence under Sections 448, 504,
307 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code.

As per written report, the petitioner entered into the
house of the informant in intoxicated condition and committed
firing and also abused the family members of the informant.

From the written report itself it appears that no injury
has been caused to the informant. There is general and omnibus
allegation against the petitioner.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case,
prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is allowed. In the
event of surrender/arrest of the petitioner, named above, within six



weeks from today, in connection with Bath P.S. Case No. 23 of 2017, he shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-13, Bhagalpur, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr. P.C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioner and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

S.Ali/-

(Sanjay Priya, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

