

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1100 of 2014

In
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No. 404 of 2010

=====

1. Rabindra Kumar Singh (Substituted In Place Of Original Petitioner Vishwanath Prasad Singh)
2. Anchal Kumar Son Of Late Arun Kumar Singh
3. Prem Kumar Singh Son Of Late Ram Lakhan Singh
4. Anil Kumar Singh Son Of Late Ram Lakhan Singh
5. Shivam Kumar Singh Son Of Late Sunil Kumar Singh
6. Chandra Bhushan Prasad Singh Son Of Late Ramdeo Prasad Singh
7. Madan Mohan Singh Son Of Late Kapildeo Singh
8. Bireshwar Prasad Singh Son Of Late Sahdeo Prasad Singh
All Resident Of Village- Sadanandpur, P.S.- Ballia, District- Begusarai

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Sanjay Kumar Singh, The District Magistrate Khagaria
3. Abhay Kumar Singh., The Then District Magistrate, Khagaria
4. Shirshat Kapil Ashok, The Sub Divisional Officer, Khagaria
5. Gautam Paswan, The Executive Magistrate, Khagaria
6. Dipak Varnawal, The Superintendent Of Police, Khagaria
7. Kripa Shankar Azad, The Officer-In-Charge, Khagaria Police Station, District- Khagaria

contemnor/opposite parties 1st set.

8. Surendra Paswan Son Of Dhauli Paswan
9. Dhauli Paswan Son Of Munar Paswan
10. Babu Saheb Son Of Ram Chandra Paswan
11. Mehi Paswan Son Of Chanchal Paswan
12. Surya Paswan Son Of Dhauli Paswan
13. Dinesh Paswan Son Of Dhurilal Paswan
14. Naresh Paswan Son Of Dhurilal Paswan
15. Ganga Paswan Son Of Bhola Paswan
16. Ram Ratan Paswan Son Of Jangali Paswan
17. Uma Paswan Son Of Parmeshwar Paswan

All R/O Village- Lakhgaon, P.S. + District- Khagaria

contemnor/opposite parties 2nd set

18. Prem Kumar Singh
19. Anil Kumar Singh

Both S/O- Late Ram Lakhan Prasad Singh Both R/O Village- Sadanandpur, P.S.- Ballia, District- Begusarai

contemnor/opposite parties 3rd set

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anil Kumar Tiwary

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ravish Chandra, A.C. to S.C.-6

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. ANJANA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER



4 27-03-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

Learned counsel for the State submits that the order which is subject-matter of the present contempt application has been fully complied with.

In view of such facts and circumstances, the present contempt application need not proceed any further. It is, accordingly, disposed off.

(Anjana Mishra, J)

Saif/-

U			
---	--	--	--

