

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.29683 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -43 Year- 2014 Thana -NIRMALI District- SUPAUL

=====

Vinod Kumar More, S/o Late Sohan Lal More, Resident of Village- Ward
No.4, Nirmali, P.S.- Nirmali, District- Supaul.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nafisuzzoha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mukeshwar Dayal, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2 07-07-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in Nirmali P.S.

Case No. 43 of 2014 instituted for the offence under Sections 341,
323, 504, 506 and 384 of the Indian Penal Code.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that
police after investigation submitted charge sheet only under
Section 504 of the Indian Penal Code against the petitioner. The
learned Magistrate has taken cognizance for the offence under
Sections 341, 323, 504, 506 and 384.

In the written report there is general and omnibus
allegation against the petitioner that he told the driver of the
vehicle to make payment of *rangdari* of Rs.50,000/- per month.

It is mentioned in paragraph-3 of the bail petition that



petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is allowed. In the event of surrender/arrest of the petitioner, named above, within six weeks from today, in connection with Nirmali P.S. Case No. 43 of 2014, he shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Birpur at Supaul, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr. P.C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioner and (3) if petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

S.Ali/-

(Sanjay Priya, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

