

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.15918 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -106 Year- 2016 Thana -KUTUMBA District- AURANGABAD

=====

1. Bablu Paswan
2. Raju Paswan
3. Bhola Paswan All Sons of Gupta Paswan
4. Kameshwar Paswan S/o Late Badri Paswan. All Resident of Village-
Maharaj Ganj, P.S.- Kutumba, District- Aurangabad (Bihar).

.... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Bihar

... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bachan Jee Ojha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : None.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2. 14-06-2017

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioners

submits that during pendency of the present application
petitioner no. 3 has already been arrested. Hence,
application, so far as petitioner no. 3 is concerned, is
infructuous.

In that view of the matter, this application with
regard to petitioner no. 3 above-named is dismissed as it
has become infructuous.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners. No
one appears on behalf of the State.

The petitioners are seeking Anticipatory Bail in
connection with Kutumba P.S. Case No. 106/2016 for the
offences under Sections 341, 323, 325, 504, 506/34 of the



Indian Penal Code pending before learned A.C.J.M. – VII, Aurangabad.

The allegation, as contained in the First Information Report, would show that the petitioners indulged in assaulting the informant and others from his family and caused injuries to at least four members of the informant's family which are said to be simple in nature.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the dispute between the petitioner and informant arose out of a minor scuffle and the injuries are simple in nature, therefore, they should be granted Anticipatory Bail. Learned counsel submits that petitioners are ready to settle the dispute with the informant.

Considering that there are allegations of active participation and assault causing injuries to as many as four members of family of the informant, I am not inclined to grant Anticipatory Bail to the petitioners.

Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is rejected.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J.)

Rajeev/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

