

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.2253 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -1762 Year- 2015 Thana -EAST CHAMPARAN COMPLAINT
District- EASTCHAMPARAN(MOTIHARI)

=====

Mohit Nathani @ Mohit Kumar Nathani, S/o late Santosh Kumar Nathani,
R/o Moh. Purani Gudri Brahmni Kunj near Sani Mandir, P.S. Nagar
(Town), Dist. Muzaffarpur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Aarti Devi, W/o Mohit Kumar Nathani, D/o Muari Lal Modi

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nachiketa Jha
For the Informant : Mr. Navin Kr. Singh
For the State : Mr. Pranav Kumar, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

3 22-06-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Tr. No. 1991 of 2016 arising out of Complaint Case No. 1762
of 2015 registered under Section 498A of the I.P.C. and ¾ of the
Dowry Prohibition Act pending in the Court of S.D.J.M., (Sadar)
Motihari (East Champaran).

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner
submits that petitioner, who happens to be husband, is innocent
and has falsely been implicated in this case. It is also submitted
that the allegation of demand is against the mother of the
petitioner.

Learned A.P.P. appearing on behalf of the State



opposed the prayer of the petitioner with submission that due to non-fulfillment of demand, the petitioner and other co-accused persons caused cruelty and harassment with the complainant. Further submission is that the matter was referred to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre for resolving the dispute between the parties, but the same failed.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and nature of the allegation, I am not inclined to allow the prayer of the petitioner for anticipatory bail. Accordingly, his prayer for bail is rejected.

(Arvind Srivastava, J)

Shailendra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

