

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.49619 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -274 Year- 2015 Thana -KOTWALI District- MUNGER

=====

1. Ranjit Yadav Chootan Yadav Village- Shyampur, P.S.- Kotwali, District-
Munger.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vindha Keshri, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Neeraj Kumar Alias Sanidh

For the Opposite Party/s : Smt. Rita Verma, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 19-01-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Munger
Kotwali P.S. Case No. 274 of 2015 for the offence punishable
under sections 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code and section 27 of
the Arms Act pending in the court of the learned Chief Judicial
Magistrate, Munger.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that
the petitioner is not named in the first information report. Later on
his name transpired in the confessional statement of Ujjawal
Mandal and that Ujjawal Mandal has been granted bail by a
coordinate Bench of this Court.

Heard learned A.P.P. also who has opposed the prayer
for bail and pointed out that the informant in his further statement



in the case diary has also shown suspicion against the petitioner in view of the fact that the petitioner was threatening the prosecution party with dire consequences and as such he might have committed the offence in question.

Having heard both sides and from perusal of the records it appears that there is suspicion against the petitioner and confessional statement of co-accused Ujjawal Mandal also shows complicity of the petitioner and he is accused in seven other cases, as such at the present I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

However, the learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial and if the case has not been committed to the court of Session, the learned court below shall take necessary steps to commit the case to the court of Session at the earliest even after separating the case of the petitioner and other accused persons who have already appeared. The petitioner is given liberty to renew his prayer for bail after framing of charge in this case.

With the aforesaid observation, this application for bail is disposed of.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

Amin/-

U			
---	--	--	--

