

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.12316 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -768 Year- 2015 Thana -WEST CHAMPARAN COMPLAINT District-
WESTCHAMPARAN(BETTIAH)

=====

Taiyab Alam @ Taiab Alam @ Taib Alam Son of Md. Baboo Hussain,
Resident of Village-Ahwar Sheikh Tola-Jagiraha, Police Station-Majhauria,
District-West Champaran at Bettiah

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Robina Khatoon, Wife of Taiyab Alam, Daughter of Muneer Ansari
Resident of Village-Ahwar Sheikh Tola-Jagiraha, Police Station-
Majhauria. District-West Champaran at Bettiah At Present resident of
Bahuarwa, Police Station-Nautan (Jagdispur), District-West Champaran
at Bettiah.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raghunandan Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arbind Kumar Pandey

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA MOHAN
SHARMA**
ORAL ORDER

5 23-06-2017 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, the

learned A.P.P. for the State as also the learned counsel for the

complainant.

In this application for anticipatory bail the petitioner
apprehends his arrest in connection with Complaint Case No. 768-
C of 2015/ Special Case (S.C) No. 958 of 2016 for the offence
punishable under section 498-A of the I.P.C.

The complainant was married to the petitioner on
15.05.2013 and thereafter the petitioner and other in-laws started
demanding dowry by way of cash Rs. 25,551/- from the father of
the complainant. They again started torturing and assaulting her



for non fulfillment of additional demand of Rs. 3,00,000/- and ultimately on 09.04.2015 after assaulting her and snatching all ornaments and cloths ousted her from the in-laws house and since then the complainant is residing in her father's house.

Submission is of false implication and that the petitioner was/ is always ready to keep the complainant as his wife with honour and dignity but the complainant does not want to live with the petitioner, all the allegations of the complainant is absolutely false and concocted, the complainant wants to keep the petitioner as Gharjamai and as such the petitioner deserves sympathetic consideration.

The learned A.P.P. and the learned counsel for the complainant opposes prayer for pre-arrest bail of the petitioner by submitting that the petitioner is the husband and he is responsible for all these acts.

In the facts and circumstances as stated above, considering the allegation attributed against the petitioner, I am not inclined to grant privilege of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner and accordingly his such prayer stands rejected in connection with the aforementioned case pending in the court of S.D.J.M, West Champaran at Bettiah.

(Jitendra Mohan Sharma, J)

Abhay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

