

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.966 of 2000

=====

Jamun Yadav, Son of Late Chunni Yadav, resident of Village Murli, P.S. Rosera,
District Samastipur

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

State of Bihar through Administrator, Shri Abhimanyu Singh, Bihar State
Cooperative Marketing Union, West Gandhi Maidan, Patna & Ors

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shivendra Kishore, Sr. Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ishwari Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 21-06-2017

The respondents have tendered their unconditional apology in explaining the delay in complying with the order and have indicated the financial crunch as the reason for the delay. However, learned counsel for the petitioner points out that the petitioner was retrenched on 3.9.1997 and the cheque for the amount was issued on 8.4.2017 and as there is an inordinate delay in settlement of the claim, the petitioner is entitled for interest.

In these proceedings, in absence of there being any specific direction for payment of interest, it is not appropriate for this Court exercising jurisdiction in the contempt proceedings to grant interest to the petitioner.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties at length and considering the fact that the retrenchment dues have been paid to



the petitioner, as is evident from para 4 of the reply filed, for the present, in these proceedings, no further action is required to be taken.

In case the petitioner feels that for the inordinate delay in settling his claim the petitioner is entitled for interest, liberty is available to the petitioner to claim the same afresh in accordance with law.

With the aforesaid, this application is disposed of.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

K.C.jha/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	28.6.2017
Transmission Date	N/A

