

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**

**Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3543 of 2010**

=====

Bihari Prasad Yadav, son of Late Janki Yadav, resident of Village- Paparkha, P.O.-  
Tribeniganj, P.S.- Tribeniganj, District- Supaul.

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Director Panchayati Raj-cum-Joint Secretary,  
Village- Development, Bihar, Patna, namely
2. Kumar Ravi, The District Magistrate, Supaul
3. Kumar Jung Bahadur, The Deputy Development Commissioner, Supaul.
4. The District Panchayati Raj Officer, Supaul namely Anil Sharma
5. Anil Kumar Sharma, The Block Development Officer, Panchayati Samiti  
Block, Tribeniganj, Supaul.

.... .... Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s :

For the Respondent/s :

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE**  
**ORAL JUDGMENT**

**Date: 14-02-2017**

The petitioner has raised grievance in respect of non-compliance of the interim order dated 5<sup>th</sup> of October, 2009 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 12702 of 2009. The order is that pendency of the writ petition will not come in the way of the respondents in quantifying the dues and making payment to the petitioner at the earliest, preferably within one month from the date of the order.

There is no categorical order of payment of salary. It is also admitted that the writ application is still pending.



In view of the said fact, it cannot be said that the respondents are in contempt of the order dated 5<sup>th</sup> of October, 2009.

The Contempt Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

**(Hemant Gupta, ACJ)**

P.K.P.

|                   |            |
|-------------------|------------|
| AFR/NAFR          | N.A.F.R.   |
| CAV DATE          | N.A.       |
| Uploading Date    | 17.02.2017 |
| Transmission Date |            |

