

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.16817 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -212 Year- 2016 Thana -AKBARPUR District- NAWADA

=====

1. Arjun Yadav, Son of Late Bandhu Yadav @ Late Bandho Yadav.
2. Keshri Devi, Wife of Arjun Yadav, Both are resident of Village-
Jognapar, P.S:- Akbarpur, District- Nawada.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sheo Kumar Prasad

For the Opposite Party/s : Smt. Anusuiya Jaiswal

For the Informant : Mr. Krishna Deo Rai

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 18-04-2017 Heard the parties.

The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Akbarpur P.S.Cae No.212 of 2016 registered for offences punishable under Sections304(B), 201 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3/4 of Dowry Prohibition Act.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioners that they are father-in-law and mother-in-law and the case is under Section 304(B) of the IPC.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioners that no specific allegation is attributed against the petitioners about demand of dowry or subjecting her torture and the petitioners are father-in-law and mother-in-law.

Heard learned A.P.P. and learned counsel for the informant. They have opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioners.



Having heard both sides and in view of the fact that there is no specific allegation against the petitioners and husband is not petitioner, as such, let the petitioners, above named, in the event of arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of six weeks, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Twenty Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of C.J.M., Nawada in connection with Akbarpur P.S.Case No.212 of 2016, subject to conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

With following conditions :

- (i) One of the bailors of the petitioners shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned court.
- (ii) The petitioners will not induce any witness or tamper with the evidence.
- (iii) The petitioners shall co-operate in the investigation of the case and make themselves available as and when required by the police.
- (iv) It is also made clear that during the investigation, if any materials, apart from the materials as mentioned in the F.I.R., come against the petitioners, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of the bail bond of the petitioners.

With the aforesaid observation, this application is allowed.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

chn/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

