

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Criminal Miscellaneous No.13804 of 2017**

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -95 Year- 2016 Thana -NANPUR District- SITAMARHI

=====

1. Jubaida Bano w/o Late Shafiuzzama  
2. Md. Mintu  
3. Md. Arshi  
Both sons of Late Shafiuzzama  
4. Lili alias Sabiha Naz daughter of Late Shafiuzzama  
All r/v – Chandanbara, Azad Road – 44, Kurban Road, Muzaffarpur, P.S. –  
Sadar, District – Muzaffarpur  
5. Md. Faiyaz s/o Tauheed Khan, r/m – Brahampur, P.S. – Bhagawan  
Bazar, District - Chapra

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... .... Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Md. Shamimul Hoda, Adv.  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anil Prasad Singh, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR**  
**JHA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      24-05-2017      Heard both sides.

The petitioners apprehend their arrest in Nanpur P.S.  
Case No. 95/2016, registered for the offences punishable under  
Sections 498(A) and other sections of the Indian Penal Code.

The informant alleged that she was married to Md.  
Amanullah on 21.05.2014, but when she went to her sasural, her  
husband and other in-laws including the petitioners subjected her  
to all sorts of torture.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that  
petitioners are mother-in-law, brothers-in-law, sister-in-law  
(unmarried Nanad) of the informant. They have falsely been



implicated in the case.

On the other hand, learned A.P.P. and Mr. Dinesh Jha learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners and submitted that there is specific allegation that the petitioners subjected the informant to all sorts of torture.

Considering the facts aforesaid and the nature of allegation made against the petitioners and the fact that petitioners are in-laws of the informant, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, are directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Pupri, Sitamarhi in connection with Nanpur P.S. Case No. 95/2016, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr. P.C.

**(Prabhat Kumar Jha, J.)**

Vinita/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

