

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.21074 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -627 Year- 2015 Thana -ROHTAS COMPLAINT CASE District-
SASARAM (ROHTAS)

- =====
1. CHANDRA DEO RAM @ CHANDEO RAM, S/o Late Sardari Ray,
 2. Parwati Devi, W/o Shri Chandradeo Ram, Both resident of Village-
Mohanpur, P.S.- Karakar (Gorari) District- Rohtas.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Sabita Devi, W/o Subodh Kumar, D/o Achay Lal Prasad, resident of
Thakurari Prsiya, P.S.- Nasriganj, District- Rohtas.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vikram Jeet, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Surendra Prasad Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

2/ 17-05-2017

Heard learned counsel for the Petitioners and the
State.

The Petitioners apprehend their arrest in Complaint
Case No.627 of 2015 instituted for the offence under Section(s)
498-A, 504 Indian Penal Code and Section 3/4 of the Dowry
Prohibition Act.

It has been submitted that the petitioners are the
parents-in-law of the complainant.

As per the written report, there is general and
omnibus allegation against them.

In the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer of
the petitioners for grant of anticipatory bail is allowed. In the



event of surrender/arrest of the petitioners, named above, within six weeks from today in connection with Complaint Case No.627 of 2015, they shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of ₹10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Bikramganj, Rohtas at Sasaram, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr. P. C. with further conditions (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioners shall cooperate in the trial and shall be present on each and every date fixed by the court and absence on two consecutive dates without proper and reasonable reason will automatically cancel bail bond of the petitioners and (3) if petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioners.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

JA/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

