

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.785 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -6 Year- 2016 Thana -BEGUSARAI GRP CASE District-
BEGUSARAI

=====

1. Manikant Kumar S/o Umesh Paswan Resident of Village-Paharchak,
Ward No. 27 P.S. Muffasil, District Begusarai

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Yogesh Chandra Verma

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Rajeev Nayan

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 12-01-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as

learned Addl. Public Prosecutor.

The application is for grant of bail for the offence

under Section 379 of the Indian Penal Code.

It is submitted on behalf of petitioner that though

allegation is serious against the petitioner but for that, he remained

in custody for about one year and earlier a direction was given to

the Sessions Judge for concluding the trial within two months after

framing of charge but the trial has not been concluded as yet.

Heard learned A.P.P. also. Learned A.P.P. has

opposed the prayer for bail and stated that petitioner has got

criminal antecedent also.

Having heard both sides. From perusal of



impugned order itself, it appears that learned Sessions Judge is directed to conclude the trial within two months after framing of charge. As such, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner and for that, his prayer for bail rejected at this stage. However, lower court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same within 02 months from today on its own merit considering the detention of the petitioner.

With these observations, this bail application is dismissed.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J.)

rinkee/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

