

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.10195 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -93 Year- 2016 Thana -GANDHIMAIDAN District- PATNA

=====

Divesh Kumar Rajak, son of Sri Manoj Kumar Rajak, resident of Mohalla-Kagzi, Ranchi Road, P.S. Biharsharif, District-Nalanda

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bhola Prasad
Mr. Sunil Kumar Singh, Advocates
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Nityanand Tiwary, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 20-03-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Gandhi Maidan P.S. Case No. 93 of 2016 lodged for the offences punishable under Sections 420,467,468,471 and 120(B) of the Indian Penal Code.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that on the date of interview the signature of the petitioner did not tally with the specimen signature as such the petitioner has left the place. After two years the present case has been lodged alleging that on the basis of forged signature the petitioner got his appointment though it is the specific submission of the petitioner that he has not been appointed and he is not serving the IDBI Bank.

Heard learned APP also.

Having heard both sides and in view of the



submissions made above, let the petitioner, above named, surrender before the court below within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, and the court below will release the petitioner on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Sub-Judge-VI, Patna in connection with Gandhi Maidan P.S. Case No. 93 of 2016, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and further conditions that the bailors of the petitioner should be local persons having sufficient property within the jurisdiction of the Court, the petitioner shall co-operate in the investigation of the case and appear before the police as and when required.

It is further made clear that if during investigation some other incriminating material comes against him, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail bonds of the petitioner.

With the aforesaid observations, this application is disposed of.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

S.Pandey/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

