

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.4872 of 2011

=====

Manzoor Alam Ansari, son of late Farkhund Ali Ansari, resident
of mohalla - Bag Bhai Khan, P.S. – Sasaram, District – Rohtas.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through Mr. Anjani Kumar, the Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. Veer Kunwar Singh University, Ara through its Registrar Mr. Manoj Kumar, Ara (Bhojpur).
3. Mr. Manoj Kumar, the Registrar, Veer Kunwar Singh University, Ara (Bhojpur).
4. The Ad-hoc Committee of Jag Jivan College through its President Mr. Khurshid Anwar Siddiqui, the SDO, Dehri-On-Sone, P.S. – Dehri (Rohtas).
5. Prof. Ashok Kumar Singh, the Secretary, Jag Jivan College, Dehri-On-Sone, P.S. – Dehri District – Rohtas.
6. Dr. Veena Singh, the Principal, Jag Jivan College, Dehri-On-Sone, P.S. – Dehri District – Rohtas.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bajarangi Lal

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Roy Shivaji Nath AAG3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAVANITI PRASAD SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3. 16-02-2017

A writ petition was filed with regard to mis-utilization of grant-in-aid given to the affiliated colleges which were required to pay remuneration to its employees. This Court directed the University to enquire into the matter and finalize the list, so that the governing body may not swindle the funds.

The petitioner of this contempt application was not the writ petitioners. He claimed that he was in the list as prepared by the University as far as in 1987. He was shown there as Lab Technician, he should, thus, have considered.



Sri P.K. Verma, learned senior counsel for the Veer Kunwar Singh University submits that petitioner of this petition, on enhancement of qualification became Lecturer, he thus, could not be considered as a Lab Technician any more.

Sri D. K. Singh, learned Senior counsel in support of the application submits that at least this much is clear that petitioner was on the roll of the college, and therefore, he must get payments.

Having considered the matter, in my view, the matters are disputed and such dispute cannot be resolved in contempt proceeding. It would be better for the petitioner to file appropriate application before appropriate forum and get the matter adjudicated.

In any view of the matter, the action of the University cannot be said to be contemptuous in any manner.

With this liberty and observation, this application stands disposed of.

(Navaniti Prasad Singh, J.)

Rajeev/-

U			
---	--	--	--

