

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.12270 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -95 Year- 2016 Thana -RUNISAIDPUR District- SITAMARHI

=====

Jeevan Mandal , Son of Late Makhan Mandal, Resident of village-Baura
Kajipur, P. S. Pupri, District-Sitamarhi

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Indu Devi, wife of Gagan Mandal
3. Krishna Mandal, Son of Late Mahadev Mandal
4. Ramesh Mandal, Son of Krishna Mandal
5. Urmila Devi, Wife of Krishna Mandal
6. Anita Devi, wife of Rakesh Mandal
7. Kanti Devi, wife of Pappu Mandal

All 2 to 7 are resident of Village-Baligarh, P. S. Runnisaidpur, Dist-
Sitamarhi

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mrs. Madhubala Verma

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Sakir Ahmad

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI
SHARAN SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 10-03-2017 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The Opposite parties are accused of offence punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 363, 364, 365/34 of the Indian Penal Code. They have been allowed anticipatory bail by learned Additional Sessions Judge-II, Sitamarhi in connection with concerned Runnisaidpur P.S. Case No. 95 of 2016.

The petitioner seeks cancellation of bail so allowed by the Court below.

From the impugned order and the complaint petition, which is the basis for registration of the First Information Report,



it appears that the petitioner, who is the informant of the case is father-in-law of Opposite party No.2. Opposite party No.2 has filed case against her husband and family members including the petitioner under Section 498A of the Indian Penal Code. The registration of the First Information Report on the basis of the complaint case is apparently result of matrimonial dispute between the Opposite party No.2 and her husband.

No case for cancellation of bail is made out.

This application has no merit and it is, accordingly, dismissed.

ArunKumar/-

U			
---	--	--	--

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

