

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.7477 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -181 Year- 2016 Thana -SONBERSA District- SITAMARHI

=====

1. Dilip Kumar @ Dilip Sah, Son of Late Munni Lal Sah, Resident of Village-Motipur, P.S.-Tajpur, District-Samastipur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ramakant Sharm, Sr. Advocate

Mr. Dilip Kumar Roy

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 23-02-2017 The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Sonbarsa P.S. Case No. 181 of 2016, registered for offences punishable under Sections 175 & 120(B) of the Indian Penal Code and Section 7 of the E.C. Act.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that nothing has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner, who happens to be the contractor of door-step delivery and the alleged recovery has been made from the co-accused of this case, namely, Lal Babu Sah and the said Lal Babu Sah has already been granted the privilege of bail.

Heard learned A.P.P. also.

Having heard both sides, considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also that the other co-accused from whose possession the alleged recovery has been made, has already been granted anticipatory bail, let the petitioner above named, in



the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bond of Rs. 25,000 (Rs. Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned CJM, Sitamarhi in connection with Sonbarsa P.S. Case No. 181 of 2016, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

This is further subject to the condition that;-

- (i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned Court.
- (ii) The petitioner shall cooperate in the investigation and make himself available as and when required by the police and on the event of failure on his part to appear before the police on two consecutive dates without showing any genuine reasons, the prosecution is free to move for cancellation of his bail bonds.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

sunil/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

