

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.48107 of 2014

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -1824 Year- 2013 Thana -SITAMARHI COMPLAINT CASE District-
SITAMARHI

=====

Vijay Kumar Pandey @ Vijay Kumar Son of Late Sriram Pandey resident of
P.H.E.D. Colony, Post Office RMCC, Police Station- Sadar, District- Ranchi,
Jharkhand

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Neha Kumari daughter of Umesh Tiwary, Wife of Vijai Kumar Pandey @ Vijai
Kumar resident of Mohalla Court Bazar, Ward No.- 16, Police Station and District-
Sitamarhi

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. K.N. Choubey, Sr. Advocate, Mr. Prashant Kumar and Mr. Dineshwar Pandey, Advocates.
For the State:		Mr. R.P.S. Singh, A.P.P.
For the Opposite Party no.2:		Mr. Madhubala Verma, Advocate.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 13-10-2017

Heard learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner and
learned counsel representing the complainant – opposite party no. 2 as
also learned A.P.P. for the State.

Petitioner is the husband of the opposite party no. 2 and is
seeking quashing of the order dated 14.03.2014 passed by the learned
Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sitamarhi in Complaint Case No.
1824/2013 by which the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate has taken
cognizance of the offence under Section 498A IPC read with Section
4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.



After some argument, learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw this application seeking liberty to raise all such pleas which are available to the petitioner at the time of framing of charge.

The petitioner may do so if so advised.

The application is dismissed as withdrawn.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Dilip, AR

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	13.10.2017
Transmission Date	13.10.2017

