

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Revision No.1149 of 2016

- =====
1. Diwakar Kumar @ Guddu Son of Laxman Prasad Resident of Village-Machhi, P.S.- Sakra, District- Muzaffarpur.
 2. Laxman Prasad son of Sri Ram Chandra Mahto, resident of village=Machhi, P. S. Sakra, District-Muzaffarpur

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jyotsna Rani Mishra

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Dr. Kumar Uday Pratap

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI
SHARAN SINGH
ORAL ORDER

5 23-02-2017 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner is accused of offence punishable under Sections 399,402,414,120B,121A,122,123 of the Indian Penal Code, Sections 3,4,5 of the Explosive Substance Act, Sections 25 (1-b) a, 26(ii), 35 of the Arms Act and various provisions of U.P. A. Act. He has been declared to be a juvenile. His application for his release on bail has been rejected by the Juvenile Justice Board, Muzaffarpur by an order dated 12.08.2016 passed in J.J.B. case No. 212 of 2015. His appeal against the said order has also been dismissed by an order dated 26.09.2016 passed by learned Sessions Judge, Muzaffarpur in Criminal Appeal No. 77 of 2016. This is the background in which he has preferred criminal revision



application under Section 53 of the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2000 for his release on bail.

In compliance of an order of this Court, dated 09.02.2017, learned A.C.J.M, Muzaffarpur has submitted a report, which is far from being satisfactory.

In the facts of the present case, I direct the Juvenile Justice Board, Muzaffarpur to conclude the enquiry under Section 14 of the Juvenile Justice (Care & Protection of Children) Act, 2000 within a period of three months from the date of communication of the present order. If the enquiry is not concluded within the aforesaid period of three months, the petitioner shall be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail before appropriate forum.

This application is disposed of with the observation as above but without interfering with the impugned orders.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

ArunKumar/-

U			
---	--	--	--

