

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.19203 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -147 Year- 2015 Thana -CHAKAI District- JAMUI

=====

Pappu Ram, son of Rajendra Ram, resident of Lagma Mangtadih, P.S.-
Chakai, District- Jamui.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Satya Prakash Parasar, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Rajendra Prasad Nat, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA KUMAR
MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

4 27-07-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Chakai P.S. Case No. 147 of 2015, registered under Section 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code, pending in the court of Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-II, Jamui.

The accusation is that, on 27.12.2015, in the night, Kajal Kumari, daughter of the informant, was sleeping in the room after taking dinner and besides that room petitioner, brother of the informant, was also sleeping in another room after locking the door and the door and partition of wall in between both rooms was of 6 feet. In the morning, the informant and petitioner woke up while the daughter of the informant did not open the door. Thereafter, he knocked the door but no response was given by his



daughter, on which, informant and others entered in the room by breaking the door and found that his daughter was found dead. The informant raised suspicion against Amrit Ram as there is land dispute in between the informant and Amrit Ram and Amrit Ram threatened him of dire consequences.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is the brother of the informant and he has not raised any suspicion against the petitioner having hand in the murder of his daughter. Later on, on the basis of questionnaire of the informant prepared by the Investigating Officer, the name of the petitioner is surfaced.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and the nature of allegation against the petitioner, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, his prayer for grant of anticipatory bail stands rejected. The petitioner is directed to surrender before the trial court within four weeks and pray for regular bail, which would be considered by the trial court in accordance with law without being prejudiced by the order of this Court.

(Rajendra Kumar Mishra, J)

Bhardwaj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

