

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.44982 of 2014

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -17 Year- 2014 Thana -MAHILA P.S. District- MADHEPURA

=====

1. Kavita Devi @ Savita Devi W/o Mahadeo Sah
2. Mahadeo Sah Son of Late Chhedani Sah
3. Arbind Prasad Son of Mahadeo Sah
All resident of village - Baluaha, P.S. - Mahishi, District - Saharsa.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Sweta Bharti, D/o Suresh Sah Resident of Mohalla - Professor Colony, Ward
No. 5, P.S. + District - Madhepura.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mrs. Prem Sheela Pandey, Adv.

For the Opposite Party no.2 : Mr. Shekhar Kumar Singh, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 10-10-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
counsel representing the opposite party no.2.

By referring to the order dated 11.09.2017, learned
counsel for the petitioners submits that although it appears that a
settlement has been reached between the parties, but she is unable to
file a supplementary affidavit for which time was granted on the last
date because she is unable to contact with her clients.

In the aforesaid view of the matter, considering the fact
that the parties are said to have entered into settlement and are not
even in touch with their learned advocate, instead of keeping this



matter pending here, the application is disposed off without interfering with the impugned order with liberty to the petitioners to approach the learned court below at the time of framing of charge with the materials which they may produce at that stage. The court below shall consider the materials available on the record at the time of framing of charge and shall pass an appropriate order in accordance with law.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Arvind/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	13.10.2017
Transmission Date	13.10.2017

