

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.12150 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -30 Year- 2016 Thana -PAHARKATTA District- KISANGANJ

=====

Ratan Kumar Ghosh, son of Late Prahlad Chandra Ghosh, resident of Milan Colony (Millan Palli), P.S. Kishanganj, District- Kishanganj.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amal Kumar Sinha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dinesh Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 24-03-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Paharkatta P.S. Case No. 30 of 2016 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 406 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that petitioner and the informant have been made accused on the allegation that on the recommendation of the petitioner caste certificate has been issued on the basis of forged Khatiyan and during investigation the Dy.S.P. has made the petitioner as accused showing his conspiracy with the informant in creating forged document.

Heard learned APP also.



Having heard both sides and in view of the above allegation and further considering the fact that petitioner is a Government servant and there is no chance of his absconding, let the petitioner, named above, in the event of his arrest or surrender in the court within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kishanganj, in connection with Paharkatta P.S. Case No. 30 of 2016, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and further conditions that petitioner shall co-operate in the investigation and make himself available before the police as and when required and in the event of failure on his part to appear before the police on two consecutive dates, the prosecution shall be free to move for cancellation of his bail bonds and further petitioner will not induce any witness or tamper with the evidence.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

spal/-

U			
---	--	--	--

