

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.51589 of 2016

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -14 Year- 2016 Thana -ROHTAS COMPLAINT CASE District-
SASARAM (ROHTAS)

=====

1. Md. Reyazuddin Ansari Son of Md. Maneer Ansari resident of Village-
Banke Bahuara, P.S.- Kuchhila, District- Rohtas.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Shabiran Khatun, Daughter of Subhan Ansari, Resident of Village-
Koribalia, P.S.- Natwar, District- Rohtas.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vijay Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Nirmal Kumar Sinha

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

6 04-04-2017 Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

This application, for grant of anticipatory bail, arises
out of Complaint Police Station Case No. 14 of 2016, disclosing
offences under Sections 323, 384, 406, 498(A), 120B of the
Indian Penal Code and 3/4 of the D.P.Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that
earlier the matter was referred to the Mediation & Conciliation
Centre for settlement of dispute between the parties and the
parties have settled their dispute also, which is evident from the
Mediator's report. Now the complaint does not want to reside
with her husband. The petitioner, who is of clean antecedent, is



innocent and has not committed any offence. In fact, the allegation leveled against the petitioner is totally false, fabricated and only to harass the petitioner. Hence, the petitioner deserves the privilege of anticipatory bail.

Learned counsel for the opposite party No.2 has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is not inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of this petitioner is rejected.

(Arvind Srivastava, J)

brajesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

