

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18194 of 2014**

=====

Birendra Prasad Son of Late Moti Lal Ram Resident of Village - Kolha,  
P.O. - Nonhar, P.S. - Bikramganj, District – Rohtas .... Petitioner/s  
Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Bihar, Patna
  2. The District Magistrate, Rohtas at Sasaram
  3. The District Education Officer, Rohtas at Sasaram
  4. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Rohtas at Sasaram
  5. The District Provident Fund Officer, Rohtas at Sasaram
  6. The Treasury Officer, Rohtas at Sasaram .... Respondent/s
- =====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajani Kant Singh  
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Vivek Prasad

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      23-05-2017                      Since there is a long gap between death of the employee and the claim, which is being made by the so called son of the late employee and almost 24 years have passed since the death happened, the insistence of respondents that there should be some kind of legal document or an order of succession, before such claim can be examined, cannot be said to be a misplaced stand to take, especially when the authenticity of such claim cannot be established on the face of record.

Let the petitioner do what is required to be done in law.

Writ application stands disposed off with observation / direction as above.

**(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)**

SKM/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

