

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.10982 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -383 Year- 2016 Thana -KOTWALI District- PATNA

=====

1. Vijay Kumar @ Krishna Kumar, Son of Ram Lakhan Sah,
2. Ram Babu Son of Vinay Prasad,
Both Resident of Mohalla- Sarso Pahi, P.S.- Pandol, District-
Madhubani.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kumar Kaushlendra

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Satyavarat Verma

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

4 08-05-2017 Heard learned Counsel for the petitioners and
learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

This application, for grant of anticipatory bail, arises
out of Kotwali Police Station Case No. 383 of 2016/ G.R. No.
5142 of 2016, disclosing offences under Sections 147, 148, 149,
353, 323, 504, 325 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted
that petitioners are innocent and have not committed any
offence. There is no specific allegation leveled against the
petitioners, who happen to be Tempo driver. The petitioners,
who are of clean antecedent, are the sole bread earner of their
family. Hence, the petitioner also deserves the privilege of



anticipatory bail.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of these petitioners and submitted that the petitioners have assaulted the police personnel, resultantly, they sustained injuries. Moreover, the Dy. S.P. during supervision of the case has also found the case to be true against the petitioners.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is not inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of these petitioners is, hereby, rejected.

(Arvind Srivastava, J.)

brajesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

