

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.3211 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -116 Year- 2016 Thana -KHUSRUPUR District- PATNA

=====

Seema Devi Wife of Yogendra Prasad resident of village - Safipur, P.S.
Khushrupur, District - Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shashi Shekhar Sharma, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajit Kumar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 02-03-2017 This is an application for grant of anticipatory bail

for offences punishable under Sections 363(A) and 366 of the

Indian Penal Code.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that

allegation against the petitioner is that she had taken the victim
girl with her but thereafter, she did not return. But the statement
made in the case diary does not corroborate the same fact and as
such, nothing has been found against the petitioner.

Heard learned A.P.P. also. He has submitted that

there is suspicion against the petitioner.

Having heard both sides, in view of the allegation, let

above named petitioner, in the event of arrest or surrender within a



period of six weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Twenty-five thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class cum Addl. Munsif-IV, Patna City, in connection with Khushrupur P.S. Case no. 116 of 2016 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure and also subject to the conditions that (1) bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned, (2) petitioner shall cooperate in the investigation and make herself available before the police as and when required. (3) if after submission of chargesheet any incriminating material comes against the petitioner, except what has been stated above, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail granted to the petitioner.

Accordingly, this application stands disposed of with the aforesaid observation.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

sudip/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

