

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.5345 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -194 Year- 2016 Thana -BARACHATTI District- GAYA

=====

Basanti Devi, wife of Late Surendra Prasad, resident of village-Sinduar,
P.S. Mohanpur (Barachatti), Distt. Gaya.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
 2. Mukhdeo Mahto, son of late Dasrath Mahto
 3. Asha Devi, wife of Dinesh Prasad
 4. Kamli Devi wife of Ram Balak Mahto
- All are residents of village-Sinduar, P.S. Mohanpur, (Barachatti), Distt-
Gaya.

.... Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Ram Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Partyie : Mr. Ahmad Ali, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI
SHARAN SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 06-02-2017 Opposite Parties no. 2, 3 and 4 have been granted

anticipatory bail by learned Sessions Judge, Gaya, by order dated

4.11.2016 passed in A.B.P. no. 1910 in connection with Barachatti

P. S. Case no. 194 of 2016 registered for the offence punishable

under Sections 341, 323, 307, 504 and 506/34 of the Indian Penal

Code and Section 3 / 4 of the Dine Act.

This application has been filed seeking cancellation

of bail so granted. It is submitted that learned Sessions Judge,

Gaya, while granting anticipatory bail failed to take into account

the gravity of the offence and other circumstances. There is no

allegation of misuse of privilege of bail. Exercise of discretion in



granting anticipatory bail to opposite parties no. 2, 3 and 4 cannot be said to be wrong.

In the facts and circumstance, I do not find any merit in this application, which is accordingly dismissed.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

sudip/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

