

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.8451 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -864 Year- 2015 Thana -HAJIPUR SADAR District-
VAISHALI(HAJIPUR)

=====

Deenanath Singh @ Dina Singh

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Asharaf Ansari

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

10 17-07-2017 Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

 This application, for grant of anticipatory bail, arises
out of Hajipur Town Police Station Case No. 864 of 2015,
disclosing offences under Sections 144, 290, 370, 354/34 of the
Indian Penal Code.

 Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that
the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence. In
fact, the petitioner happens to be the owner of the lodge in
question and he does not reside there. The petitioner has no
concern about the alleged occurrence rather the tenant who is in
occupation of the said lodge is responsible for the alleged
occurrence. No any witness of the said vicinity has supported the
implication of the petitioner in the alleged offence. Hence, the



petitioner deserves the privilege of anticipatory bail.

Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that there is specific allegation against the petitioner that he was indulged in running a immoral activities in his lodge which is adjoining of his house.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is not inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of this petitioner is rejected.

(Arvind Srivastava, J)

brajesh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

