

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Letters Patent Appeal No.1319 of 2016

=====

Ram Ram Tiwari, S/o Ramashray Tiwari, Village and Post Ganesh Asthan Majha,
Block & P.S. Phulwaria, District Gopalganj

.... Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Human Resources Development
Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
3. The Director, Primary Education, Government of Bihar, Patna
4. The Regional Deputy Director Education Saran at Chapra
5. The District Magistrate, Gopalganj
6. The District Education officer, Gopalganj
7. The District Programme officer (Establishment), Gopalganj
8. The Block Education officer, Pulwari, District Gopalganj
9. The Gram Panchayat Raj, Paikoli Bado, through its Secretary, at Paikoli Bado,
Block Pulwari, Gopalganj, Gopalganj
10. The Recruitment Unit of Gram Panchayat Raj, Paikoli Bado, through its
Secretary, at Paikoli Bado, Block Pulwari, Gopalganj, Gopalganj
11. The Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj, Paikoli Bado, Block Pulwari, Gopalganj,
Gopalganj
12. The Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Raj, Paikoli Bado, Block Pulwari, Gopalganj,
Gopalganj
13. The District Teacher's Appointment Appellate Authority, Gopalganj through its
Secretary at Gopalganj District Gopalganj
14. The Secretary, District Teacher's Appointment Appellate Authority, Gopalganj,
District Gopalganj
15. Jawed Akhtar, Son of Ali Ahmad, Resident of village - Chenari, P.O. Sikandar
Pur, P.S. G. B. Nagar Tarwara, District - Siwan

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Bipin Bihari Singh
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ravi Ranjan, AC to SC-22



=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI)

Date: 05-12-2017

Even for the sake of argument, it is accepted that the appellant has a degree of Uttar Madhyama, which is supposed to be equivalent to intermediate degree, since the degree is from Sampurnanad Sanskrit University such degree is if at all recognized under the State of Bihar can be valid for appointment on the post of Sanskrit Teacher alone and not as a general teacher. Any equivalence does not mean that a person acquires knowledge in all the fields and can become a jack of all trades without being master of any.

The equivalence is not supported by any of the notification or material which is available on record. Therefore, this aspect of the matter is left open. However, the Court will not interfere with the order of the learned Single Judge because the post and position on which the appointment was made or is required to be made is not that of a Sanskrit Teacher.

Any such degree obtained from Sampurnanad Sanskrit University, U.P. at Varanasi can only be used for the purposes of appointment as a Sanskrit Teacher and not for other purpose in the State of Bihar.



Appeal has no merit. It is dismissed.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Arvind/-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	06.12.2017
Transmission Date	

