

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2802 of 2016

IN

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 10735 of 2011

=====

1. M/s Vikash Engineering Company through its Managing Partner Sanjay Kumar son of Late Rajmani Prasad, resident of 124B/Road No. 2, Rajendra Nagar, Patna - 800016.
2. Sanjay Kumar son of Late Raj Mani Sinha, R/o Mohalla - Rajendra Nagar, P.S. - Kadam Kuan, District - Patna.

.... Petitioners

Versus

1. The Union of India through Financial Advisor and Chief Administrative, Works Project, East Central Railway, Chamber Bhawan, J.C. Road, Patna.
2. Dinesh Kumar, son of not known, Chief Administrative Officer, Workshop Project, Chamber Bhawan, J.C. Road, Patna.
3. Shiv Kumar Prasad, son of not known, Deputy Chief Engineer-IV, Workshop Project, Chamber Bhawan, J.R. Road, Patna.
4. Santosh Kumar Sinha, son of not known, Asstt. E.N. III, Central Railways Workshop Project, Patna.
5. Kundan Kumar, son of not known, S.S.E. (Works), CRWP, Harnaut.

.... Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioners : Mr. Ashok Kr. Choudhary, Advocate

For the Opp. Parties : Mr. Naresh Dikshit, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 04-10-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for the opposite parties.

2. The present petition has been filed for initiating a contempt proceeding against the opposite parties for willful disobedience of the judgment of this Court dated 21.04.2016 passed in CWJC No. 10735 of 2011.

3. Learned counsel for the opposite parties submits



that the order of this Court stands complied with in terms of the speaking order dated 24.08.2016 (Annexure-A to the show cause) by which the final bill of Rs. 12,77,395/- has been paid to the petitioner. Further, in terms of the Memorandum dated 18.08.2017 (Annexure-G to the supplementary show cause), an amount of Rs. 2,209/- has also been found to be payable to the petitioner by way of interest which has since been paid.

4. In the above view of the matter, MJC No. 2802 of 2016 stands disposed of with liberty to the petitioner that in case it is aggrieved by the order of the authorities as noticed above, it may agitate the same before an appropriate forum in accordance with law, if so advised.

(Vikash Jain, J)

B.T/Chandran

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	06.10.2017
Transmission Date	N.A.

