

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13003 of 2016

- =====
1. The Union of India, through the Director General, Employees State Insurance Corporation, Panchdeep Bhawan, C.I.G. Road, P.S. Tilak Nagar, District - New Delhi - 110002.
 2. The Joint Director (Recruitment) Employees State Insurance Corporation, Panchdeep Bhawan, C.I.G. Road, P.S. Tilak Nagar, District - New Delhi - 110002.
 3. The Additional Commissioner and the Regional Director, Employees State Insurance Corporation, Regional Office, Panchdeep Bhawan, Ashram Road, P.S. Narayanpur, District - Ahmedabad - 380014.
 4. The Regional Director, Employees State Insurance Corporation, Regional Office, Panchdeep Bhawan, Ashram Road, P.S. Narayanpura, District - Ahmedabad - 380014.
 5. The Deputy Director, Employees State Insurance Corporation, Regional Office, Panchdeep Bhawan, Ashram Road, P.S. Narayanpura, District - Ahmedabad - 380014.
 6. The Joint Director I/C, Employees State Insurance Corporation, Sub-Regional Office, Vadodara ESI Corporation, P.S. Alkapuri, District Vadodara (Gujarat).

.... Petitioners

Versus

1. Prashant Kumar Tandan Son of Sri Narendra Prasad Resident of North Buddha Dental College, Mahatma Gandhi Nagar, P.S. Kankarbagh, Post Office - Lohia Nagar, District - Patna - 800020 (Bihar).
2. Shambhu Kumar S/o Sri Deo Mandal Singh Resident of Village - Aranda, Post Ghamhari, P.S. Pahar, District - Aurangabad.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sudhir Kumar Bijpuria

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI
And

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI)

5. 18-09-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned counsel for the private respondents.

It is a misplaced kind of writ application filed for
wasting valuable judicial time of this Court. Petitioners have
to take a call upon the order/direction of the Central



Administrative Tribunal (in short the “C.A.T.”), Patna Bench, Patna. This Court cannot help them in passing an order by calling further inputs after further inquiry. Let the petitioners pass the necessary order in terms of the leeway granted by the C.A.T.

The Writ Application is dismissed.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J.)

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J.)

Rajeev/-

U			
---	--	--	--

