

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Letters Patent Appeal No.1538 of 2016

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 18411 of 2008

- =====
1. Juwa Devi, daughter of Late Bachha Yadav, resident of Village- Jharka Tola Harpur, P.O.- Gidrahi, Ratauli, P.S.- Pipra, District- Supaul (Old Saharsa).

.... Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Director, Consolidation, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Deputy Director of Consolidation, Saharsa.
4. The Consolidation Officer, Saharsa, Now Pipra, District-Supaul.
5. Laldhari Yadav Son of Late Bajrangi Yadav, resident of Village-Jagur, P.S.- Tribeniganj, District- Saharsa (Now Supaul).
6. Rajdeo Yadav son of Deo Narain Yadav resident of Village- Jharka, Tola Haripur, P.O.- Gidrahi, P.S.- Pipra, District- Supaul.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Naresh Kumar Mehta, Advocate
For the Respondent/s :

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR
UPADHYAY**

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

5 03-07-2017 An order passed by the Director of Consolidation, Bihar, Patna in Consolidation Revision Case No.4 of 2002 under Section 35 of the Consolidation of Holdings and Prevention of Fragmentation Act, 1956 was challenged before the learned Writ Court and the learned Writ Court found no infirmity or error in the order and refused to interfere.

We are of the considered view that the order passed



by the Revisional Authority and the one passed by the learned Writ Court do not call for any interference in these proceedings under Clause 10 of the Letters Patent.

Accordingly, finding no case for making indulgence, this appeal is dismissed.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

K.C.jha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

