

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16148 of 2016**

=====

Ram Lakhan Prasad Yadav, S/o Sri Darpi Lal Yadav, President, Bar Association, Nirmali (Supaul), resident of Village+P.S.+P.O.- Nirmali (SriKrishna Nagar, Ward No.-6), District- Supaul.

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Joint Secretary, Cabinet Secretariat, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Principal Secretary, Public and Administrative Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
6. The Principal Secretary, Agriculture Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
7. The Principal Secretary, Building Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
8. The Divisional Commissioner, Koshi Division, Saharsa.
9. The District Magistrate, Supaul.
10. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Nirmali, District-Supaul.
11. The Circle Officer, Nirmali, District-Supaul.
12. The Registrar General, Hon'ble High Court, Patna.

.... .... Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arvind Kumar  
For the Respondent/s : Mr. MD.KHURSHID ALAM-AAG12

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE**

**And**

**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY**

**ORAL JUDGMENT**

**(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)**

**Date: 25-07-2017**

The petitioner claiming to be an advocate of Sub-Divisional Court, Nirmali, District-Supaul who is also President of the Bar Association has filed this petition in public interest and his



grievance is that in Sub-Division in question, sanction has been accorded for construction of office and residential quarters of Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Deputy Superintendent of Jail and Civil Court and an area of 20.21 acres have been allotted after acquiring it from the Agriculture Department, but till date even though the matter is pending since 2007, nothing has been done.

The counter affidavit has been filed by the respondent No. 12, which goes to show that construction is in progress and the State Government is taking steps for completing the construction in question.

Taking note of the counter affidavit filed by the respondents, particularly the averments made from para 4 onwards by the respondents, we see no further action to be taken into the matter. The petition stands disposed of with a direction to the State Authorities to complete the construction work in question at an earlier date.

As far as construction of the Civil Court is concerned, it is seen that the Registrar General of the High Court has made communication to the State Government as is evident from Annexure-R-12/D which goes to show that the land allotted i.e. 2.81 acres is not sufficient for construction of the Civil Court and therefore, extra land is required. The District Magistrate, Supaul is



directed to take steps for allotment of the land for construction of the Civil Court in the area in question at an earlier date.

With the aforesaid, the application stands disposed of.

**(Rajendra Menon, CJ)**

**(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)**

Shageer/-

|                   |            |
|-------------------|------------|
| AFR/NAFR          | NAFR       |
| CAV DATE          | NA         |
| Uploading Date    | 28/07/2017 |
| Transmission Date | NA         |

