

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Appeal (SJ) No.2908 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -92 Year- 2017 Thana -BALIA District- BEGUSARAI

=====

1. Ghutru Chaudhary Son of Late Bauku Chaudhary Resident of village-
Masudanpur, Police Station- Balia, District- Begusarai

.... Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Prabhu Narayan Sharma

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sadanand Paswan

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 21-11-2017 Heard the parties.

The appellant seeks bail in Balia P.S. Case No.92 of 2017 registered for the offence under Sections 341, 323, 324, 326, 307, 506, 120 (B)/34 of the I.P.C., Section 27 of the Arms Act and Sections 3(i)(R) (S) of SC/ST (POA) Act.

Allegation against the appellant is of firing on the informant causing him grievous injury.

Submission of the learned counsel for the appellant is that he has been falsely implicated in this case. There is no motive to commit such offence and he is in custody for more than 6 ½ months.

Heard learned Special.P.P. also who opposed the prayer for bail stating that injury is grievous on the part of abdomen.



There is allegation against the appellant along with three other co-accused persons is of firing on the informant.

Having heard both sides and in view of the facts and circumstances, as stated above, I am not inclined to grant bail to the appellant. However, trial court is directed to expedite the trial by conducting day to day basis and try to conclude it within a period of six months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, and if not concluded within six months, the appellant will be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail.

With the aforesaid observation, this appeal stands dismissed.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

AnilKrSinha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

