

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION No.312 of 2016**

=====

Ashok Kumar Singh

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

Uday Pratap Singh & Anr

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Leelawati Kumari

For the Respondent/s : Mr.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MUNGESHWAR
SAHOO
ORAL ORDER**

3 09-02-2017 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned counsel for the respondents.

Perused the impugned order dated 24.02.2016 passed in
Title Suit No.21 of 2014 by the learned Sub Judge I, Aurangabad
whereby the learned court below rejected the intervention
application filed by the petitioner.

It appears that notices were issued to the respondents.

The learned counsel for the respondents submitted that
the case made out by the intervener is that the property was
acquired by Shri Singh and that Shri Singh had kept this
intervener-petitioner to look after his property, therefore, he filed
the application claiming that he has got interest in the property.

In view of the above facts and circumstances of the
case, that when the question of title is involved and the intervener
himself made out a case that Shri Singh had acquired the property



and he is looking after the property only, therefore, he is not an interested party in the suit.

Thus, I find no reason to interfere with the impugned order in exercise of supervisory jurisdiction. Accordingly, this civil miscellaneous application is dismissed.

(Mungeshwar Sahoo, J)

Saurabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

