

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Letters Patent Appeal No.771 of 2016

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14998 of 2011

=====

Mostt. Meena Devi (Wrongly Mention As Menna Devi In The Judgment & Order Under Appeal)

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar & Ors

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s	:	Mr. Shanti Pratap Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Ajeet Kumar Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI)

12 27-11-2017 Keeping in view the order, which has been passed in yet another LPA, where a detailed decision has been rendered with regard to the status of a work-charge-employee, as well as the infirmities, which emerged in the case of Sarasawati Devi, the decision of the Learned Single Judge, which is under challenge, dated 20th of October, 2014, passed in the writ application, is not required to be interfered with. The rational and reasoning, which has been provided in the decision, rendered in L.P.A. No. 1011 of 2016, also governs the legal aspect of the matter.



This appeal has no merit, it is dismissed.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

skm/-

U			
---	--	--	--

