

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11149 of 2014

=====

Rajani Kumari Wife of Sri Satish Kumar Resident of New Bangali Tola, Postal Park, P.O- Postal Park, P.S- Jakkanpur, District- Patna Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, I.C.D.S., Directorate, Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Patna.
4. Deputy Director, Welfare, Patna Division, Patna.
5. The District Programme Officer, Patna.
6. The Child Development Project Officer, Patna Sadar-2

.... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Mohan Kumar Singh, Advocate and
Mr. Ramchandra Singh, Advocate
For the Respondents : Mrs Binita Singh, SC 28 and
Mr. KK Narayan, AC to SC 28

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 06-12-2017

The petitioner had earlier moved this Court in C.W.J.C.No. 6103 of 2013 challenging his removal from the post of Anganbari Sevika which was withdrawn vide order dated 12.4.2013 with liberty to file an appeal before the Collector, Patna. Having obtained such liberty, it appears that the petitioner preferred appeal bearing Anganbari Appeal No. 89 of 2013-14 before the Appellate authority, i.e., the Deputy Director, Welfare, Patna Division, Patna (respondent no.4). A copy of the said appeal has not been brought on record by the petitioner. However, it is pointed out that the issues raised in the appeal, has not been considered.

On perusal of the appellate order dated 16.12.2013, it appears that the matter was considered by a reasoned order. In absence of a copy of the appeal, it is not possible for the Court to examine what contentions had been raised by the petitioner which



have not been considered as alleged by the petitioner. Order of the appellate authority appears to be a reasoned order, passed after considering the various points and after hearing the counsel for the appellant.

In view of the aforesaid discussions, there does not appear any reason to interfere with the impugned order. The writ petition is thus dismissed.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

Shashi.

NAFR	
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	7.12.2017
Transmission Date	NA

