

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.37920 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -25 Year- 2017 Thana -LAHERIMUHALLA District- NALANDA
(BIHARSHARIFF)

=====

Munna Paswan @ Munna Don Son of Chandradeo Paswan Resident of
Village/Mohalla - Manichak, P.S. - Deep Nagar, District - Nalanda.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioners : Mr. Pramod Kumar, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party : APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL ORDER

3 22-09-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is in custody since 07.06.2017 in
connection with Laheri P.S. Case No. 25 of 2017 for the offences
alleged under Section 395 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely
implicated on mere suspicion and the petitioner is not named in the
F.I.R. Petitioner's name has surfaced on the confessional statement
of co-accused Pankaj Kumar @ Gora @ Gendra and Suraj Kumar,
both of whom have been granted bail by this Court in Cr. Misc. No.
26684 of 2017 and Cr. Misc. No. 35936 of 2017 respectively. No
recovery has been made from the conscious possession of the
petitioner.

4. Be that as it may, having regard to the entirety of
the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner above
named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-
(ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the
satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Nalanda at



Biharsharif, in connection with Laheri P.S. Case No. 25 of 2017, on the following conditions:-

(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) That the petitioner shall remain physically present in court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(iv) The petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Md. Ibrarul/Chandran

U		T	
---	--	---	--

