

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11169 of 2014

=====

Anuradha Rani W/o Sona Lal Paswan resident of Village- Mushaharwa, Panchayat- Bhelahi, P.O- Bhelahi, P.S- Palanwa, District- East Champaran Petitioners
Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, social Welfare Department Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, the Integrated Child Development Services Deirectorate, Social Welfare Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, District East Champaran.
4. The Sub- Divisional Officer, Raxaul, District- East Champaran
5. The Child Development Project Officer, Raxaul, District- East Champaran.
6. The District Programme Officer, Raxaul, District East Champaran.
7. The Memebtrs of the Selection Committee, Ward No. 2, Centre Code no. Mini-I, Angan Bari Centre Mushaharwa, Panchayat-Bhelahi, District- East Champaran
.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Jitendra Kumar Giri, Advocate
For the Respondents : Mr. K. ALOK, SC 7.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MADHURESH PRASAD

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 06-12-2017

The petitioner draws attention of the Court towards the merit list dated 9.12.2013, issued by respondent no.5 pursuant to which her application for appointment/engagement on the post of Anganbari Sevika in Ward No.2, Anganbari centre Musaharwa Centre code no.1, Panchayat Bhelahi, Police Station Palanwa, District East Champaran, has been rejected.

Counter affidavit has been filed by the State submitting that the petitioner's application has been rejected on the ground that one member of her family, being Bhabhi (sister-in-law) was an employee working as Panchayat teacher earning Rs.9,300/- from the Education



Department. In this regard, he refers to the provisions contained in clause 4/4.9 of the Anganbari Sevika/Sahaika Selection Guidelines under which his candidature was ineligible for appointment. A copy of the same is as Annexure A to the counter affidavit. Clause 10/10.3 of the Guidelines provides the remedy of an appeal to the petitioner, which has not been availed by her.

In view of the above discussions, the writ petition is dismissed.

(Madhuresh Prasad, J)

Shashi.

NAFR	
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	11.12.2017
Transmission Date	NA

