

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.36347 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -270 Year- 2016 Thana -KARAHGAR District- SASARAM (ROHTAS)

=====

Sri Niwas Ram son of Late Harilal Ram Village - Sonbarsa, P.S. - Kargahar,
District - Rohtas.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ajay Nandan Sahay, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Meena Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2. 10-08-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

the learned A.P.P. for the State.

Petitioner, in the present case, is the father-in-law of the deceased and is seeking regular bail in connection with Kargahar P.S. Case No. 270/2016 (S. Tr. No. 215/2017) registered for the offence punishable under Sections 304(B)/34 of the Indian Penal Code and 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is a general and omnibus allegation against the petitioner. It is submitted that the husband of the deceased is engaged in the work of repairing of shoes (Mochi) and as such the very allegation that he was demanding a motorcycle and a Television is not believable. According to learned counsel, in any case so far as the present petitioner is concerned, he, being father-in-law, was



separate in mess and business and had nothing to do with the family of the deceased. It is submitted that the husband of the deceased is already in jail. The petitioner is in custody for about 8 months and investigation is over. No further custodial interrogation is required.

Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that the death took place within a few months from the date of marriage.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is the father-in-law, he is in custody for 8 months and investigation in the present case is over, let the petitioner, above named, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Sessions Judge – 4th, Rohtas at Sasaram, in connection with Kargahar P.S. Case No. 270/2016, subject to condition as laid down under Section 437(3) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J.)

Rajeev/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

